

Message

From: Rauscher, Jon [Rauscher.Jon@epa.gov]
Sent: 11/29/2019 5:40:39 PM
To: Adams, Adam [Adams.Adam@epa.gov]
CC: Daniel Tighe [Daniel.Tighe@WestonSolutions.com]; james.collins@westonsolutions.com; Hidalgo, Chelsea [Hidalgo.Chelsea@epa.gov]; Carroll, Craig [Carroll.Craig@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: TPC Environmental Sampling and Analysis Plan

I understand your concern. During the previous project, analysis using method 7979 was almost exclusively available through the Region 5 lab. We were reluctant to propose a method that was available only through the EPA lab.

If a lab can be acquired that could analyze samples using either method 7979 or draft EPA method 8327 (comparable to method 7979), those would be the preferable methods. At this time, 537M is the most commercially available methods and would be acceptable if methods 7979 and 8327 are unavailable.

Give me a call if you want to discuss.

Thanks, Jon

From: Adams, Adam <Adams.Adam@epa.gov>
Sent: Friday, November 29, 2019 11:25 AM
To: Hidalgo, Chelsea <Hidalgo.Chelsea@epa.gov>; Rauscher, Jon <Rauscher.Jon@epa.gov>; Carroll, Craig <Carroll.Craig@epa.gov>
Cc: Daniel Tighe <Daniel.Tighe@WestonSolutions.com>; james.collins@westonsolutions.com
Subject: RE: TPC Environmental Sampling and Analysis Plan

Thanks. Concern is that previously method 7979 was the approved method (and utilized for EPA sampling at an EPA lab in Chicago) on a different project.

Please advise.

Thanks

Adam

From: Hidalgo, Chelsea <Hidalgo.Chelsea@epa.gov>
Sent: Friday, November 29, 2019 11:10 AM
To: Rauscher, Jon <Rauscher.Jon@epa.gov>; Adams, Adam <Adams.Adam@epa.gov>; Carroll, Craig <Carroll.Craig@epa.gov>
Cc: Daniel Tighe <Daniel.Tighe@WestonSolutions.com>; james.collins@westonsolutions.com
Subject: RE: TPC Environmental Sampling and Analysis Plan

Good morning,

Comments on Environmental Sampling Plan:

1. Table 1 and 2 includes analysis of PFAS using EPA method 537M. 537M is intended for potable water rather than surface water. EPA Method 8327 is applicable to surface water sampling of PFAS. This method is still in the proposal stage but drafts are available and this would be a preferred method.
2. Figure 1 includes the site location, but adding proposed sampling locations would be beneficial.
3. DQOs should include comparison levels.

Thanks,

Chelsea Hidalgo

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6
Superfund and Emergency Management Division
214-665-8133
hidalgo.chelsea@epa.gov

From: Adams, Adam <Adams.Adam@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, November 28, 2019 3:05 PM
To: Carroll, Craig <Carroll.Craig@epa.gov>; Rauscher, Jon <Rauscher.Jon@epa.gov>
Cc: Daniel Tighe <Daniel.Tighe@WestonSolutions.com>; James Collins <james.collins@westonsolutions.com>
Subject: Fwd: TPC Environmental Sampling and Analysis Plan

Please see attached for comment from TPC.
Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Hope Davila <Hope.Davila@tceq.texas.gov>
Date: November 28, 2019 at 3:02:40 PM CST
To: "Adams, Adam" <Adams.Adam@epa.gov>, "Loesel, Matthew" <loesel.matthew@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: TPC Environmental Sampling and Analysis Plan

From: Shawn Wnek <swnek@cteh.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 28, 2019 1:35 PM
To: Emily Bourg <Emily.Bourg@tceq.texas.gov>; Hope Davila <Hope.Davila@tceq.texas.gov>
Cc: Sanders, Jason <Jason.Sanders2@tpcgrp.com>
Subject: TPC Environmental Sampling and Analysis Plan

All,

Attached please find the Environmental Sampling and Analysis Plan for the South 4 Group Fire response.

Regards,

Shawn

Shawn M. Wnek, PhD, DABT
Senior Toxicologist
CTEH, LLC
Office: 501-801-8534 | Mobile: 501-258-7132
Emergency Response 24-Hour Hotline: +1(866) 869-2834
swnek@cteh.com | www.cteh.com